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PREFACE

Disclaimer

The Ministry Research Project Writing Handbook (Project Writing Handbook) serves only as a guide and in no way functions as a contract. The Professional Doctoral student acknowledges that the requirements for the program and the evaluations of the student’s work lie solely with The Southern Baptist Theological Seminary and its personnel. Moreover, the Project Writing Handbook is subject to periodic revision. Professional Doctoral students must follow the guidelines of the Project Writing Handbook as it is revised and updated. A current copy of the Project Writing Handbook is available at www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources.

The academic policies outlined here are only those that relate directly to the Ministry Research Project Writing stage, and are provided as an easy reference. Students should refer to the Southern Seminary Student Catalog and the Professional Doctoral Student Handbook for further academic policies.

Accreditation

The Southern Baptist Theological Seminary is accredited by the Commission on Colleges of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools to award associate, baccalaureate, master, and doctoral degrees. The seminary is also accredited by the Association of Theological Schools in the United States and Canada and is an accredited institutional member of the National Association of Schools of Music.
ACADEMIC POLICIES

Registration

Students who are in the ministry research project writing stage of their Professional Doctoral program and have completed all of their foundational and contextualized writing seminars must register for the appropriate project writing course every Fall and Spring semester until graduation.

The Project Writing Courses are as follows:
- D.Min. students in the School of Theology: 80700A
- D.Min. students in the Billy Graham School: 80700B
- D.Ed.Min. students: 80853
THE MINISTRY RESEARCH PROJECT

The D.Min. or D.Ed.Min. program requires the development and implementation of a ministry research project. This element of the program is intended to help the student apply skills acquired in a way that grounds ministry in sound biblical-theological principles. As the culmination of the Professional Doctoral program of study, the completed project should demonstrate the student’s ability to relate professional knowledge—documented in research—with professional functioning in reference to a particular situation, problem, challenge, or need in the student’s area of ministry. It should demonstrate the student’s ability to communicate clearly and effectively by means of the written word. The research for the ministry research project should ultimately be both a means of ministry and an experience of growth and development for the student.

General Information on the Ministry Project

The requirements for and evaluations of ministry research projects stress the correlation of professional knowledge with performance. Because the project is conceived as making a contribution to the student and to others, the appropriate context for a ministry research project is the student’s own ministry setting.

The written text of the completed ministry research project must be between 100 and 125 double-spaced pages, not including the table of contents, bibliography, and appendices. The bibliography and appendices may not exceed fifty pages. Students may exceed these page limits only if the faculty supervisor and the Director of Professional Doctoral Studies grant permission.

A Project Methodology professor will guide students in preparing the project proposal. In addition, each student will be assigned a faculty supervisor to guide the ministry project implementation and writing. See the Supervision of Research section for more information.

Choosing an Appropriate Area for the Project

Students will choose an appropriate area for their project (see Contextualized Writing Seminar Section). A satisfactory area of research for a ministry research project should be able to stand the test of the following questions:

Does the project address an area of need/weakness in the minister’s ministry context? An adequate project will target an area of weakness in the church, such as the need for a men’s discipleship program or a revised Sunday school curriculum.
Can the project be applied to another ministry context? A good project will often be transferable to other ministry contexts.

Does this project involve the church or constituency served by the minister? A good topic involves the persons with whom the minister works and does not represent simply the single-handed undertaking of the minister.

Does this project go beyond the previous experiences or skills of the minister? A good area for research adds to the knowledge and skills already possessed by the minister and thus brings new dimensions to the previous routine job description. The student should not plan simply to write up what he/she is already expected to do routinely.

**Research Thesis Option**

The research thesis is an option for Doctor of Ministry students with exceptional writing and research skills. Students must apply for permission to present a research thesis in place of the Ministry Research Project by submitting a *D.Min. Research Thesis Request* by the end of their first semester in the program.

The *D.Min. Research Thesis Request* includes:

1) Completed *D.Min. Research Thesis Request Form* (see appendix 1 [www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources](http://www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources)).

2) A 10-15 page sample research paper including footnotes and a bibliography. This should be an exemplary paper that displays evidence of the following: (1) a clear thesis statement supported throughout the paper; (2) exceptional writing; and (3) superb research skills.

3) A 1 page abstract which includes the potential thesis title, thesis statement, and the general direction of the work.

The Office of Professional Doctoral Studies and an SBTS faculty member from the student’s area of study will review the submitted thesis request. Following their review, the Professional Doctoral Studies Office will inform the student whether the thesis request has been approved or denied.

Approval of the Thesis Request permits the D.Min. student to write and submit a research thesis proposal in coordination with participation in the appropriate Project/Thesis Methodology course (80600).
THE PROPOSAL PROCESS

Project Writing Courses

Prior to the submission of a ministry research project proposal, all students must take two writing courses. Introduction to Doctoral Research & Writing (80610) is taken alongside the student’s first seminar. Project Methodology (80600) is taken alongside the student’s second seminar and focuses on the Project Proposal. Following the on-campus seminar, the student will submit a project proposal to the Project Methodology Supervisor by the due date listed in the course syllabus. Failure to submit a project proposal by the deadline will result in a grade of “F” for the course and the student will be put on academic probation and be required to re-take Project Methodology.

Preparing the Project Proposal

The proposal for a ministry research project must be prepared carefully with attention to both content and form. Careful attention must be given to sentence structure, grammar, and punctuation. Proposals containing errors either in grammar or style will be unacceptable. The purpose of presenting a proposal for approval is to demonstrate that the student has reached a level of competence that qualifies him/her to move ahead in the research and writing phase of the Doctor of Ministry program. The final proposal for a ministry research project (completed during Project Methodology Seminar) should include the following items in the order listed. (See appendix 2 [www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources] for a complete description of these items and corresponding examples.)

I. Front and Back Matter
All front and back matter, such as title page, copyright page, table of contents, etc., must be included (see style guide for details).

II. Title (follow formatting guidelines in The Southern Seminary Manual of Style)
The title must clearly and concisely describe the project. Include the church’s name and location; e.g., “Developing Personal Evangelists at First Baptist Church, Ohio City, Kentucky.”

III. Purpose (first actual heading for your project proposal)
Be specific. You should include the target group, what you hope to accomplish and where. The purpose statement should be a single sentence.

IV. Goals
State three to five goals. Each goal should progressively help you achieve the purpose of your project. You must also specify a measurement and benchmark of success for each goal.
V. Context of the Ministry Project (about 2-3 pages)
This section should focus upon issues and descriptors which relate to the purpose of the project. Do not provide general information related to your church’s leadership, demographics, growth patterns, etc., unless they revolve around the project’s purpose. Any information not related to the purpose is superfluous and should be left out of the proposal.

VI. Rationale for the Project
This section should flow out of your context and establish the need for this project in your ministry. From what you have discussed in the context, it should be evident that there is a need for this project in your ministry setting. The rationale should therefore state what should be evident to your reader.

VII. Definitions and Limitations/Delimitations
You will need to define terms that may be ambiguous or unclear, as well as state if you are using a special definition of a term.

VIII. Research Methodology
State in some detail the process you will follow in securing input, data, and feedback from project participants. Will you do a pre-project survey? Post-project survey? Interviews? Be as specific as possible. What statistics will you use to evaluate whether your “intervention” (your project) made any statistically significant difference?

IX. Outline of Chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
The project should be 80-125 pages in length. Unless otherwise agreed upon, the chapter content should be:

Chapter 1: Introduction (10-12 pages)
Chapter 2: Biblical and theological support (20-25 pages)
Chapter 3: Theoretical/sociological, etc., support (20-25 pages)
Chapter 4: Details of the project (15 pages)
Chapter 5: Evaluation of the project (15-20 pages)

X. Completion Calendar
This should include the submission of your proposal and chapters, and your intended month of graduation.

XI. Research Instruments
Place all research instruments (e.g., surveys, questionnaires, etc.) that you propose to use in the project in a separate appendix (Appendix 1, Appendix 2, etc.). Be aware that all instruments must be approved by your faculty supervisor and the SBTS Research Ethics Committee prior to their use.

XII. Bibliography (at least 50-75 entries)
Include books, periodical articles, dissertations, other projects, and any other material you think is germane to the project.
XIII. List of Appendices

XIV. Vita Sheet (follow the guidelines on p. 18 of The Southern Seminary Manual of Style)

XV. Abstract

Project Proposal Approval

There are three phases in the project proposal approval process. The proposal must successfully pass each of these phases before the student begins work on any chapter of the project.

Phase 1: Project Methodology Professor Approval. The student may not exceed two resubmissions to the professor. If the proposal is not approved by the second resubmission, the student may be required to retake Project Methodology (80600).

Phase 2: Faculty Supervisor Approval. Upon approval by the Project Methodology professor, the proposal then goes to the faculty supervisor for review. At any point during the proposal review process, the student may be asked to make changes or corrections and resubmit the proposal. No more than two resubmissions are permitted.

Phase 3: PDS Office Approval. Upon approval by both the Project Methodology professor and the faculty supervisor, the student will submit a revised copy to the PDS office for final approval. No more than one resubmission is permitted.

Once the proposal has successfully passed each of these phases, the title of the proposal will be presented to the full faculty for formal approval at the monthly faculty meeting. The student will receive a letter from the PDS office that states the approved project title when full faculty approval of the project title has been granted. Students who feel they need to change their title to reflect their project accurately must submit that request along with a new outline and description of each chapter. All title revisions must be approved by vote of the full faculty.
CONTEXTUALIZED WRITING SEMINARS

Overview of Contextualized Writing Seminars

Contextualized Writing Seminars are designed so as to allow students to work toward the completion of their project while in seminars. This should allow students to write and receive approval for chapters 1-3 by the time he/she has completed their seminars and be on track to graduate in 36 months. In view of this goal, students will register for Contextualized Writing Seminar (80710) in each of their first four semesters in the program. This is a graded course which has fixed submission deadlines and for which the student must receive at least a B-. The outline below will briefly describe what each semester’s Contextualized Writing Seminar work will entail. (See appendix 3 for the complete Contextualized Writing Seminar syllabus)

**Semester 1:** Students will research and determine an area of need in their ministry context and will develop a project title, purpose statement, and goals that address it.

**Semester 2:** After the proposal has been approved by the Project Methodology professor, students will submit the proposal to the assigned supervisor for review and approval.

**Semester 3:** Students will write and submit chapter 2 to their supervisor for review and approval.

**Semester 4:** Students will write and submit chapter 3 to their supervisor for review and approval.
MINISTRY RESEARCH PROJECT PROCESS

Overview of the Research Project Process

Students are expected to follow the following process for preparing and submitting a ministry project after the project proposal is approved:

1. After the proposal is approved, the student will begin writing chapters 2-5 of the project. Each individual chapter must be approved prior to the submission of any additional chapters. For example, a student must have received approval of chapter 2 before moving on to chapter 3.

2. When the student has completed writing chapter 3, he/she will submit the following items to the Professional Doctoral Studies office as described below:
   a. One copy of chapters 1-3, including front and back matter, for review by the seminary style reader. The style reader will review the chapter for style errors and send the student a report. The student must incorporate his/her corrections and guidelines into all of the subsequent project chapters. If a style reading is returned to a student with an unacceptable rating, he/she will be charged a $100 style reading fee and required to submit a revised copy for the style reader’s review.
   b. One copy of chapter three for the faculty supervisor’s review.
   c. Completed ethics approval forms (available at www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources).

3. The student should submit each chapter in hard copy directly to the Office of Professional Doctoral Studies. The faculty supervisor will review the chapter and return it for corrections, if needed. The student must make needed revisions and resubmit the chapter to the Office of Professional Doctoral Studies. After the supervisor grants approval, the student may begin working on the following chapter. In addition, students should assume a minimum of two to four weeks duration between their submission and receiving feedback from the supervisor.

4. As the student submits project chapters, he/she will work with the faculty supervisor to determine a potential graduation date. Students should pay careful attention to the deadlines for graduation, posted in the student handbooks and on the PDS webpage (www.sbts/edu/dmin).

5. Students who are in the writing stage of the Professional Doctoral program and have completed all seminars must enroll in the appropriate Project Writing
course (80700) each semester until they graduate. Tuition and fee payments must be kept current to remain in the program. Failure to register or failure to pay student account balances may result in dismissal from the program.

6. Students must follow all guidelines and meet all deadlines for submitting oral examination copies as well as final approved copies.

**Eligibility to Submit a Ministry Research Project**

The authorization to prepare a ministry research project is granted in the approval of the proposal for a project. The student may not begin working on the project he/she has received the proper approvals from the Project Methodology supervisor, faculty supervisor, and the Professional Doctoral Studies office.

**Supervision in Research for a Ministry Research Project**

Each student will be assigned a faculty supervisor. The supervisor is responsible for:

1. guiding the student through the completion of the ministry research proposal;
2. the student’s written work in the ministry research project;
3. mentoring the student in aspects of theological writing and ministry;
4. monitoring the implementation of the project;
5. overseeing the final writing of the ministry research project;
6. leading the student’s oral examination on the ministry research project.
7. building a relationship with the student that will extend beyond his/her time in the program.

The student should submit the best possible written work for review by the faculty supervisor. The supervisor is not an editor for the student’s work. The student will be expected to incorporate any requested revisions along with his/her own further reflections in revised drafts. The student should assume that faculty supervisors would require at least two to four weeks before returning a chapter.
COMPLETION OF THE MINISTRY RESEARCH PROJECT

Submission of the Completed Project Report for the Oral Examination

The following are specific guidelines for submitting completed ministry research projects for the oral examination. The student is expected to be familiar with the guidelines and follow them accordingly.

1. Students who intend to graduate must submit to the Professional Doctoral Studies office three unbound copies of the completed project by September 1 for December graduation and February 1 for May graduation. The project in its completed form must have been approved by the student’s faculty supervisor before its submission to the office. Place a colored sheet between each of the copies to separate them. These copies will be distributed as follows: faculty supervisor, a designated oral exam committee member, and the seminary style reader.

2. Projects may not be submitted by e-mail. The student is expected to send project copies early enough to meet the stated deadlines. The student is responsible for all copying and mailing costs.

3. Though the first copies will likely be revised, the student is to submit these copies as if they were final submissions. The submitted copies must include the pages indicated in the seminary style guide. Incomplete submissions will not be accepted.

4. The seminary style reader will review the student’s entire completed project, and the Professional Doctoral Studies office will make her report available to the student. In most cases, the student will receive this report at the oral examination. If the style reader deems the project unacceptable, the student will need to submit a revised copy for a second style reading. A second style reading fee of $250 will be billed to the student.

5. An oral exam is required for each ministry research project. The exam is given by the student’s faculty supervisor and one other faculty reader. See the oral exam section below for more information concerning this requirement.

6. It is the student’s responsibility to confirm with the Academic Records office that he/she is registered to graduate.

Submission of the Approved Ministry Research Project

The student’s final corrected copy must be approved by the student’s faculty supervisor before submission for publication. When the student has secured final approval, he/she
will then complete the electronic submission of the corrected project to the seminary library. More information and instructions on the electronic submission process are available on the library website at http://library.sbts.edu/index.php/etds. Instructions on final steps will be provided following the oral defense.
ORAL EXAMINATION OF THE MINISTRY RESEARCH PROJECT

An oral examination is required on each ministry research project. This examination and the subsequent corrections of the project complete the requirements for the Doctor of Ministry or Doctor of Educational Ministry degree.

Composition of the Committee for the Oral Examination

Two persons constitute the oral examining committee:

- The faculty supervisor, who serves as chairperson.
- A faculty member who serves as the second reader and is selected by the Director of Professional Doctoral Studies.

Exceptions to this practice must be approved by the Director of Professional Doctoral Studies.

Nature of the Oral Examination

The oral examination involves an intensive and critical examination of the research, contributions, conclusions, implications, presuppositions, and methodology of the Ministry Research Project. The examination is not necessarily limited, however, to the material included in the student’s project and may, at the discretion of the committee, deal with the student’s view of, commitment to, and involvement in ministry. The examination typically requires one to two hours. Students should do the following in preparing for the oral examination:

- Know your project well.
- Talk with your supervisor about what he expects you to do in the examination.
- Bring a copy of the project to the oral defense.
- Business attire is expected.
- Do not be late for the examination.
- Defend your project, but do not be defensive. The examination is also considered a teaching opportunity, and you should always remain teachable.
- Be ready, if your supervisor so desires, to create a power point that consists of your project’s purpose, goals, summary of methods, results, what your learned, the transformational impact upon your church, etc.
Scheduling of the Oral Examination

The Professional Doctoral Studies office will schedule the examination at a mutually convenient time for all the participants. An examination should be scheduled to allow for each member of the examining committee to have at least two weeks for the reading of the project. In every case, an exam must be held and all corrections made so as to meet each of the specified graduation deadlines.

Results of the Oral Examination

The committee usually requires that the student make specified corrections, alterations, or revisions in the project before it is submitted for publication. Normally, members of the examining committee will notice errors in typing, information, or style that need to be corrected before the ministry research project is finally submitted.

In addition to the matters noted by the members of the committee, the evaluation of the ministry research project done by a form and style reader will frequently result in calling attention to matters that need correction. The student will be required to make these corrections before the faculty supervisor approves the completed project.

The oral exam committee will assign two grades: one for the written work and one for the oral defense. The student must pass both components with a minimum grade of B- in order to complete successfully the oral examination. If a student does not pass the oral examination, the committee has the option of (1) requiring the student to schedule a second examination during the following semester or (2) terminating the student from the program.

Final Submission of the Ministry Research Project

When the student has completed all of the requirements stipulated by the committee for the oral examination, he/she should submit an electronic copy of the project to the seminary library. Instructions for submitting the final project are available at http://library.sbts.edu/index.php/etds and are included in the grade letter given to the student following the oral defense.

Projects will be made available through the Boyce Library digital repository and through UMI. If desired, students may purchase bound copies of their project at the time they complete the electronic submission process.
DEADLINES FOR GRADUATION

The deadlines below apply to all Professional Doctoral students planning to graduate in a given semester. In addition to meeting these deadlines, students planning on graduating must complete a graduation application on e-Campus while registering for their last semester. Graduates should follow guidelines from Academic Records and other seminary offices related to graduation.

December Graduation Deadlines

The following deadlines apply for all students desiring to graduate in the Fall semester:

**September 1:** Fully approved defense drafts of the Professional Doctoral project are due in the Professional Doctoral Studies office by this date. The student’s faculty supervisor must have reviewed every chapter in sequence and granted permission to continue with the oral examination prior to submission of the drafts.

**November 1:** All oral exams must be completed, and any resubmissions required by the style reader or the faculty supervisor must be submitted by this date. The date and time of the oral defense is chosen by the Professional Doctoral Studies office in conjunction with each doctoral candidate’s oral defense committee members. Once selected, candidates will be informed of the day and time of the defense. It is the student’s responsibility to adjust his or her schedule to be on campus for the oral defense.

**December 1:** Complete electronic submission of the Professional Doctoral project must be completed by this date in order for students to graduate in December. Details about electronic submission, creating PDFs, pricing, and other information are available on the library website: [http://library.sbts.edu/index.php/etds](http://library.sbts.edu/index.php/etds).

May Graduation Deadlines

The following deadlines apply for all students desiring to graduate in the Spring semester:

**February 1:** Fully approved defense drafts of the ministry research project are due in the Professional Doctoral Studies office by this date. The student’s faculty supervisor must have reviewed every chapter in sequence and granted permission to continue with the oral examination prior to submission of the drafts.

**April 1:** All oral exams must be completed, and any resubmissions required by the style reader or the faculty supervisor must be submitted by this date. The date and time of the oral defense is chosen by the Professional Doctoral Studies office in conjunction with each doctoral candidate’s oral defense committee members. Once selected, candidates
will be informed of the day and time of the defense. It is the student’s responsibility to adjust his or her schedule to be on campus for the oral defense.

**May 1:** Complete electronic submission of the ministry research project must be completed by this date in order for students to graduate in May. Details about electronic submission, creating PDFs, pricing, and other information are available on the library website: [http://library.sbts.edu/index.php/etds](http://library.sbts.edu/index.php/etds).

### Deadlines for Continuation Fee Waivers

Students who fail to meet graduation deadlines in a given semester and have completed the program fee payment plan will be eligible to have the $1000 continuation fee waived for the following semester if they submit the hard copies of their defense drafts, fully approved by the faculty supervisor, prior to the deadlines below.

**May 1:** To graduate in December and have the fall continuation fee waived, fully approved defense drafts of the ministry research project are due in the Professional Doctoral Studies office by this date. The student’s faculty supervisor must have reviewed every chapter in sequence and granted permission to continue with the oral examination prior to submission of the drafts.

**December 1:** To graduate in May and have the spring continuation fee waived, fully approved defense drafts of the ministry research project are due in the Professional Doctoral Studies office by this date. The student’s faculty supervisor must have reviewed every chapter in sequence and granted permission to continue with the oral examination prior to submission of the drafts.
APPENDIX 1

The following is a copy of the *D.Min Thesis Request Form*. Please read the instructions carefully. This form is available at [www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources](http://www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources)
The D.Min. Research Thesis is an option for Doctor of Ministry students with exceptional writing and research skills. Students must apply for permission to present a D.Min. Research Thesis in place of the Ministry Research Project by submitting a D.Min. Research Thesis Request.

The D.Min. Research Thesis Request should include:


2) A 10-15 page sample research paper including footnotes and a bibliography. This should be an exemplary paper that displays evidence of the following: (1) a clear thesis statement supported throughout the paper; (2) exceptional writing; and (3) superb research skills.

3) A 1 page abstract which includes the potential thesis title, thesis statement, and the general direction of the work.

The Office of Professional Doctoral Studies and an SBTS faculty member from the student’s area of study will review the submitted Thesis Request. Following their review, the Professional Doctoral Studies Office will inform the student whether the thesis request has been approved or denied.

The submission and approval of these documents does not constitute approval of a Research Thesis Proposal. Approval of the Thesis Request permits the D.Min. student to write and submit a Research Thesis Proposal in coordination with participation in the appropriate 80600: Project/Thesis Methodology course.
D.Min. Research Thesis Request Form
Office of Professional Doctoral Studies

Student’s Name: ____________________________________________

Address: ________________________________________________

Email Address: __________________________________________ Phone Number: _________

D.Min. Concentration: ______________________________________

Requested Supervisor: _______________ Anticipated Graduation Date: __________

Proposed Thesis Title: ______________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Student Signature

Your signature indicates that the work you have submitted is your own.

For office use only

Approved: _________ Denied: _________

Comments:

________________________________________________________________________

Area Professor

Director of Professional Doctoral Studies
APPENDIX 2

The following is a copy of the *D.Min./D.Ed.Min. Project Proposal Outline*. All proposals must be in full compliance with this outline. This outline is available at [www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources](http://www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources)
OUTLINE FOR D.MIN./D.ED.MIN. PROJECT PROPOSAL
80600 Project Methodology Seminar

ATS standards require that the Doctor of Ministry/Doctor of Educational ministry programs conclude with “the design and completion of a written doctoral-level project that addresses both the nature and the practice of ministry. The project should be of sufficient quality that it contributes to the practice of ministry as judged by professional standards and has the potential for application in other contexts of ministry.” The project should “demonstrate the candidate’s ability to identify a specific theological topic in ministry, to organize an effective research model, to use appropriate resources, and to evaluate the results, and should reflect the candidate’s depth of theological insight in relation to ministry.” The project includes an oral presentation and evaluation.

To meet this requirement, SBTS professional doctoral students complete a project proposal and written report following this outline:

I. You will need to submit your work with all “front matter” included such as title page, copyright page, table of contents, etc (see style guide for details).

II. Title (follow formatting guidelines in The Southern Seminary Manual of Style)
The title must clearly and concisely describe the project. Include the church’s name and location; e.g., “Developing Personal Evangelists at First Baptist Church, Ohio City, Kentucky.”

III. Purpose (first actual heading for your project proposal)
Be specific. You should include the target group, what you hope to accomplish and where. The purpose statement should be a single sentence. (Note: Your purpose and title should agree.)
IV. Goals
State three to five goals. Each goal should progressively help you achieve the purpose of your project. You must also specify how each goal will be measured. E.g., “This goal will be measured by…” Lastly, you must also write a benchmark of success for each goal. E.g., “This goal will be successfully accomplished when seven out of ten students share the gospel with someone in their community.”

V. Context of the Ministry Project (about 2-3 pages)
This section should be focused upon issues and descriptors which relate to the purpose of the project. Do not provide general information related to your church’s leadership, demographics, growth patterns, etc., unless they revolve around the project’s purpose. Any information not related to the purpose is superfluous and should be left out of the proposal. So if your purpose has to do with assimilating new members, then this section should solely include a description and evaluation of the current assimilation process, related statistics, and other relevant information.

VI. Rationale for the Project
This section should flow out of your context and establish the need for this project in your ministry. From what you have discussed in the context, it should be evident that there is a need for this project in your ministry setting. The rationale should therefore state what should be evident to your reader. For example, if the context has discussed that there has been a poor assimilation process in your church, the rationale will now state how your project will address this weakness, thus establishing the need for your project. In doing so, you will convince the reader that this is a very important ministry to your church.

VII. Definitions and Limitations/Delimitations
You will need to define terms that may be ambiguous or unclear, as well as state if you are using a special definition of a term. Alphabetize, indent, and place a period after each term, and provide bibliographic support for each definition. You will also need to identify and explain your limitations and delimitations. The following is an example:

**Definitions and Limitations**

*Patristic Christology.* This term refers to the understanding of the person of Christ in the period following the NT (100–451 AD).\(^1\) Some of the major councils that shaped Christology during this period are Nicaea (325) and Ephesus (431).

A limitation is something that limits your research that is beyond your control (like the fifteen-week duration of the project). A delimitation is a “fence” you put around

---

the research to limit the size of the data pool, scope of intention, etc. (such as choosing to work with only a certain group of people, like senior adults).

VIII. Research Methodology
State in some detail the process you will follow in securing input, data, and feedback from project participants. Will you do a pre-project survey? Post-project survey? Interviews? Be as specific as possible. What statistics will you use to evaluate whether your “intervention” (your project) made any statistically significant difference? If you are using pre- and post-surveys, it is normally best to match a participant’s pre- and post-survey so that you can determine the statistical change (if this is the case, then you will want to use a t-test for dependent samples). Use literature to support your statistics/analysis choice and explain the statistics to the novice reader.2

IX. Outline of Chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
The project should be 80-125 pages in length. Unless otherwise agreed upon, the chapter content should be:
- Chapter 1: Introduction (10-12 pages)
- Chapter 2: Biblical and theological support (20-25 pages)
- Chapter 3: Theoretical/sociological, etc., support (20-25 pages)
- Chapter 4: Details of the project (15 pages)
- Chapter 5: Evaluation of the project (15-20 pages)

**Chapter 2**—List 4-5 major texts that you will cover in an attempt to answer the question, “What does the Bible say about my topic?” Explain your use of each text in a brief sentence. Use sub-points. Be sure to consider Old Testament texts as well as New Testament texts. Be as thorough as you can at this point. Do not leave much to our imagination. This chapter should take on the form of exegeting and applying the text. This will require the use of the most scholarly commentaries—DO NOT USE WEAK commentaries!

In your outline for the proposal, you will need to write an initial “chapter thesis” and then allow your outline to flow from it, demonstrating how you will argue your thesis statement. Your thesis statement will be the central claim of your chapter. The following is an example of a clear chapter thesis statement: “The thesis of this chapter is that Scripture presents parents as the primary disciple makers of their children.”3 If this were your thesis statement, the rest of your chapter would flow out from it and demonstrate its validity. After doing this, you will need to list in

---

your outline (not only in the bibliography) the commentaries/resources you plan on using in your writing. A sample portion of a chapter 2 outline is noted below.

Chapter 2: Biblical and Theological Foundations for Parents as Primary Disciple Makers

I. The thesis of this chapter is that Scripture presents parents as the primary disciple makers of their children.

A. Deuteronomy 6:1-8 provides some of the clearest evidence of parents as the primary disciple makers. In this passage, parents are called upon to teach the laws and commandments to their children so that they may grow up to fear the Lord. Such a perspective from this passage substantiates the claim that the burden of training children is on their parents.


Note that the sample outline above begins with a thesis and is followed by the explanation of a verse that demonstrates the validity of the thesis. After doing so, a brief list of 4-5 scholarly commentaries that will be employed in this section are listed below subpoint A. But of course your outline would not stop at here. You would have several other subpoints that you would employ to validate your thesis—each of them also following the pattern noted above.

Chapter 3—The goal of this chapter (which is often the most difficult chapter to outline) is to answer the question, “What else do I need to study to complete this project?” The materials often come from secular sources, though it is not mandatory that they do so. Provide a clear outline indicating the material you study to complete this chapter. You should be able to write your chapter simply by filling in the details of the outline you provide. As with the chapter 2 outline, you will list your chapter thesis, followed by the subpoints that will validate it. After doing this, you will list 4-5 sources that you will employ in this section. The difference in the chapter 3 outline is that the subpoints will not revolve around biblical verses but

---

4Much of the creative inspiration for this sample outline comes from Michael Paul Rodriguez’s project proposal draft, *Discipling Parents to Be Primary Disciple Makers at First Baptist Church, Andalusia, AL.*
theoretical/sociological propositions, and your sources will not necessarily be biblical/theological in nature but theoretical/sociological. In short, the format of the chapter 3 outline will follow that of the chapter 2 outline—the main difference being that the chapter 3 outline is theoretical/sociological in essence not biblical/theological.

Chapter 4—Describe your project in detail. Your goal should be to provide enough information that someone could take your chapter and duplicate your project in his church. The outline should clearly indicate what will take place during each of the fifteen weeks of the project.

Chapter 5—Evaluate your project by answering at least the following questions:

- Did the project fulfill its purpose?
- Were the goals met?
- What were the strengths of the project?
- What were the weaknesses of the project?
- What would you do differently if you were to do the project again?
- What did you learn about God, His church, His Word, etc., through this project (theological reflection)?
- What did you learn about yourself as a minister (personal reflection)?

The outline (read: headings) for Chapter 5 should look as follows:

I. Introduction appropriate
II. Evaluation of the project’s purpose
III. Evaluation of the project’s goals
IV. Strengths of the project
V. Weaknesses of the project
VI. What I would do differently
VII. Theological reflections
VIII. Personal reflections
IX. Conclusion

X. Calendar
Include the following dates:
1. Date you expect to receive approval of the proposal (be reasonable and include time for the full process to take place—usually between six weeks to two months).
2. Date your plan to start chapter 2.
3. Date your plan to finish chapter 2 and submit it to your faculty supervisor.
4. Date you plan to start chapter 3.
5. Date you plan to finish chapter 3 and submit it to your faculty supervisor.
6. Date you plan to start chapter 4.
7. Date you plan to finish chapter 4 and submit it to your faculty supervisor.
8. Date you plan to start chapter 5.
9. Date you plan to finish chapter 5 and submit it to your faculty supervisor.
10. Date you plan to graduate.

You will also be required to submit a detailed writing plan for chapter 2 with allotted hours and self-imposed writing deadlines.

XI. Research Instruments

Place all research instruments (e.g., surveys, focus group questions, etc.) that you propose to use in the project in a separate appendix (Appendix 1, Appendix 2, etc.). Be aware that all instruments must be approved by your faculty supervisor and the SBTS Research Ethics Committee prior to their use.

If you are going to employ a scale, you must use the following Likert model. If you wish to employ a different scale, please contact the Professional Doctoral Studies Office for approval.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree Somewhat</th>
<th>Agree Somewhat</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>SA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XII. Bibliography (at least 50-75 entries)

Include books, periodical articles, dissertations, other projects, and any other material you think is germane to the project. Include at least three commentaries for each book of the Bible used in the chapter. Be sure your commentaries are academic. You may choose your own theological position, but be informed of others.

XIII. List of Appendices (if necessary)

XIV. Vita Sheet (follow the guidelines on p. 18 of The Southern Seminary Manual of Style).

XV. Abstract

XVI. Signature Sheet

You are required to sign the form attached to the DMin/DEdMin Project Proposal Outline. By signing this form you are saying that your project complies with the standards set forth in the proposal outline. Your project will not be accepted until it has been signed.

XVII. Submission Process

After you submit your proposal at the conclusion of Project Methodology, a Project Methodology supervisor will review your work, return your proposal to you with suggested changes, and submit your final course grade. You will then receive a supervisor who will review your proposal no more than two times. After two submissions (at most), you will submit a revised copy to the Professional Doctoral
office for final approval. Please expect a response time of three weeks for each submission.
APPENDIX 3

The following is the Contextualized Writing Seminar Syllabus that should be followed by all 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th semester D.Min./D.Ed.Min. students. The syllabus is also available at www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources
Course Description

This seminar will aid the student in the development of their professional doctoral ministry project or thesis. The student will analyze their current ministry context to determine the focus of their project or thesis and then will write significant portions in consultation with their supervisor. (2 hours)

Seminar Objectives

1. Students will familiarize themselves with the standards for project proposals by reading through the D.Min./D.Ed.Min. project proposal outline, the D.Min./D.Ed.Min. writing manual, and several exemplary proposals.

2. Students will analyze their current ministry context to determine the focus of their project or thesis and develop an initial description of their ministry project or thesis.

3. Students will write chapters 1, 2, and 3 of their ministry project or thesis over a period of multiple semesters.

Requirements

Semester 1 Students

1. Carefully read the D.Min./D.Ed.Min. project proposal outline, the D.Min./D.Ed.Min. writing manual, and several exemplary proposals posted on Moodle.

2. Students who are developing a ministry project will need to submit a 10 page document that includes the following:

   A. Analyze the strengths and weaknesses in your current ministry context and identify the one area you are most interested in addressing in your project. Be sure to explain “why” you are interested in addressing this need.
B. Write a title for your project. It should clearly and concisely describe your project, and should include the name and location of your church.
C. Write a purpose statement for your project. This should include the target group, what you hope to accomplish and where. The purpose statement should be a single sentence.
D. Write 3-5 goals for your project. Each goal should progressively help you achieve the purpose of your project.

3. Students who are considering writing a thesis will need to submit a 10 page document that outlines their initial understanding of the writings related to their thesis topic, as well as a working thesis statement.

**Semester 2 Students**
The student will write the ministry project or thesis proposal and submit it to their supervisor for review and approval.

**Semester 3 Students**
The student will write chapter 2 of their ministry project or thesis and submit it to their supervisor for review and approval.

**Semester 4 Students**
The student will write chapter 3 of their ministry project or thesis and submit it to their supervisor for review and approval.

**Required Sources**

D.Min./D.Ed.Min Project Proposal Outline

D.Min./D.Ed.Min Writing Manual

3 Sample Proposals

**Other Helpful Sources**


**Completion and Submission of Assignments**
All assignments must be submitted via Moodle. Do not mail or email them to the PDS office.

**Style**
All assignments must conform to the updated *Southern Seminary Manual of Style*. Papers that are not conformed to the *Manual of Style* will not be accepted.

**Plagiarism**
Plagiarism is using the words or ideas of someone else without giving them appropriate credit. Students are expected to always credit sources appropriately. Failure to do so will potentially result in the student’s failure of this course and referral to the Dean of Students for disciplinary action as is deemed appropriate.

**Grading Scale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>96-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>93-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>86-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>83-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>76-78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>73-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>66-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-</td>
<td>63-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>69-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0-62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 4

The following is a copy of the *D.Min./D.Ed.Min. Project Evaluation Tool* that will be used to assess all proposals and projects. This form is available at [www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources](http://www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the title clearly and concisely describe the project? (Does it include the church’s name and location?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the purpose statement clearly and succinctly stated?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the purpose statement include the target group, what is to be accomplished and where the project will take place?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the title and purpose statement agree?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the goals clearly articulated?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there an obvious progression in the sequencing of goals? In other words, do they build upon one another in a logical manner?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is it clearly stated how each goal will be measured?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the “benchmark of success” noted in relation to goal accomplishment? (What is success?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When the goals are reached, will they achieve the stated purpose of the project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the context section specially focus upon matters related to the purpose of the project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the context section adequately describe the issues within the ministry setting that have given rise to the project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the context section flow from the purpose/goals and lead the reader to understand the rationale for the study?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the rationale section adequately detail the need for the study?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the rationale section convince a skeptical reader that the project ought to be conducted?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have the limitations of the study been clearly articulated?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have the delimitations of the study been clearly articulated?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has special terminology been defined using bibliographic support?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the process that will be followed in securing input, data, and feedback from project participants been clearly stated?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the instrumentation that will be used to collect data from participants been clearly detailed?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is a copy of the instrumentation included in the appendix with any needed permission for use if a standardized or adapted instrument was utilized?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the research design stipulate how many participants will be enlisted and the manner in which the enlistment will occur?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Have the statistics that will be used to determine if the intervention (i.e. the project) has made a statistically significant difference be noted with explanation and bibliographic support?

Has the means of measurement for each goal been clearly stated with clearly detailed marks of goal accomplishment?

---

### DMin / DEdMin Project Evaluation Tool

#### Chapter 2 Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the biblical / theological section, has the writer addressed the most pertinent issue(s) / texts?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer relied upon the strongest biblical and theological writers for the topic?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a clearly stated thesis for the chapter?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is it clear how each section of the chapter supports the thesis statement?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is it clear how the literature is shaping the proposed project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Chapter 3 Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the theoretical section, has the writer addressed the most pertinent issue(s) / topics?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer relied upon the strongest writers for the topic?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a clearly stated thesis for the chapter?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is it clear how each section of the chapter supports the thesis statement?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is it clear how the literature is shaping the proposed project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### DMin / DEdMin Project Evaluation Tool

#### Chapter 4 Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has each of the fifteen weeks of the project been described in detail?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If curriculum (sermons, bible studies, training materials, etc) was utilized, did the writer detail the development process?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If curriculum (sermons, bible studies, training materials, etc) was utilized, was the material placed in the appendix?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Chapter 5 Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer demonstrated that the project has fulfilled its purpose?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer clearly displayed that the goals have been met?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If statistics were used to determine the extent of the goal accomplished, were the statistics properly used and fully explained?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer correctly assessed the strengths of the project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer correctly assessed the weaknesses of the project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer adequately assessed what should be done differently, if the project were to be done again?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following questions relate to the writer’s writing style:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>1= insufficient</th>
<th>2= requires attention</th>
<th>3= sufficient</th>
<th>4= exemplary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the structure of the chapter intuitive?—is the flow logical and appropriate to the topic?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the subheadings flow logically?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer included everything the reader would expect pertaining to their topic and nothing more?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer included extra “stuff”?—stuff that may seem interesting but is unnecessary.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer used the most significant works in the field?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer relied upon primary and secondary sources rather than tertiary and popular sources?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer narrowed the scope of their discussion of the literature to the most pertinent topics?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer used good transitions between paragraphs and topics?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer used appropriate grammar, syntax, and diction?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer organized the literature review well?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the writer moved in a definitive way to a climax of the discussion?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the author’s work in accord with the Southern Seminary Manual of Style?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 5

The following is a sample survey that includes a disclaimer and the six-point *Lickert* scale that should be used with such instruments. This and other surveys are available in the sample proposals at [www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources](http://www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources)
Agreement to Participate
The research in which you are about to participate is designed to identify the current understanding and practices of spiritual leadership of the participant. This research is being conducted by Greg Birdwell for the purpose of collecting data for a ministry project. In this research, you will answer questions before the project and you will answer the same questions at the conclusion of the project. Any information you provide will be held strictly confidential, and at no time will your name be reported or identified with your responses. Participation is strictly voluntary and you are free to withdraw at any time. By completion of this survey, you are giving informed consent for the use of your responses in this project.

Directions: Answer the following multiple-choice questions by placing a check next to the appropriate answer.

Part 1
1. Do you consider yourself a Christian?
   ___ A. Yes
   ___ B. No

2. Have you repented of your sin and trusted in Jesus Christ for salvation?
   ___ A. Yes
   ___ B. No

3. Are you married?
   ___ A. Yes
   ___ B. No

4. Do you have any children age 18 or younger living in the home?
   ___ A. Yes
   ___ B. Yes

5. What is your age in years?
   ___ A. 18-24
   ___ B. 25-34
   ___ C. 35-44
   ___ D. 45-54
   ___ E. 55-64
   ___ F. 65 and over
Directions: Answer the following questions: (1) Place a check by the multiple-choice questions. (2) Some questions ask you to give your opinion using the following scale: SD = strongly disagree, D = disagree, DS = disagree somewhat, AS = agree somewhat, A = agree, SA = strongly agree; please circle the appropriate answer.

Part 2

6. I consider myself to be the spiritual leader of my home.
   SD  D  DS  AS  A  SA

7. I am an effective spiritual leader of my home.
   SD  D  DS  AS  A  SA

8. I desire to lead my family well.
   SD  D  DS  AS  A  SA

9. I have a strong grasp of what it means to be the spiritual leader of my home.
   SD  D  DS  AS  A  SA

10. My spiritual health directly impacts my ability to lead my family spiritually.
    SD  D  DS  AS  A  SA

11. If asked, I could articulate the gospel.
    SD  D  DS  AS  A  SA

12. I consider personal accountability with another man or men to be a vital part of leading my family spiritually.
    SD  D  DS  AS  A  SA

13. I could instruct another man in how to be the spiritual leader of his home.
    SD  D  DS  AS  A  SA

14. I read my Bible (check only one)
    ___ A. more than once per day
    ___ B. once per day
    ___ C. several times per week
    ___ D. once per week
    ___ E. several times per month
    ___ F. once per month
    ___ G. several times per year
    ___ H. not at all
15. I meditate on Scripture (check only one)
   ___ A. more than once per day
   ___ B. once per day
   ___ C. several times per week
   ___ D. once per week
   ___ E. several times per month
   ___ F. once per month
   ___ G. several times per year
   ___ H. not at all

16. I pray (check only one)
   ___ A. more than once per day
   ___ B. once per day
   ___ C. several times per week
   ___ D. once per week
   ___ E. several times per month
   ___ F. once per month
   ___ G. several times per year
   ___ H. not at all

17. I have a specific time set aside for prayer.
   ___ A. Yes
   ___ B. No

18. I most often pray for (check only one)
   ___ A. myself
   ___ B. family
   ___ C. friends
   ___ D. other

19. I most often pray (check only one)
   ___ A. at church
   ___ B. at home
   ___ C. while traveling
   ___ D. at work
   ___ E. other
20. I meet with another man or men for the purpose of accountability (check only one)
   ___ A. several times per week
   ___ B. once per week
   ___ C. several times per month
   ___ D. once per month
   ___ E. several times per year
   ___ F. not at all

**Part 3** (If you are not married, please skip to Part 4).

21. I understand what the Bible teaches regarding how to lead my wife spiritually.
   SD  D  DS  AS  A  SA

22. The Bible calls me to love my wife as Christ loved the church.
   SD  D  DS  AS  A  SA

23. The Bible calls my wife to submit to me as the church submits to Christ.
   SD  D  DS  AS  A  SA

24. If asked, my wife could articulate the gospel.
   SD  D  DS  AS  A  SA

25. I pray with my wife (check only one)
   ___ A. more than once per day
   ___ B. once per day
   ___ C. several times per week
   ___ D. once per week
   ___ E. several times per month
   ___ F. once per month
   ___ G. several times per year
   ___ H. not at all

26. I discuss spiritual matters with my wife (check only one)
   ___ A. more than once per day
   ___ B. once per day
   ___ C. several times per week
   ___ D. once per week
   ___ E. several times per month
   ___ F. once per month
   ___ G. several times per year
   ___ H. not at all
27. I read the Bible with my wife (check only one)
   ___ A. more than once per day
   ___ B. once per day
   ___ C. several times per week
   ___ D. once per week
   ___ E. several times per month
   ___ F. once per month
   ___ G. several times per year
   ___ H. not at all

28. I read Christian books with my wife (check only one)
   ___ A. more than once per day
   ___ B. once per day
   ___ C. several times per week
   ___ D. once per week
   ___ E. several times per month
   ___ F. once per month
   ___ G. several times per year
   ___ H. not at all

29. I know the areas in which my wife is struggling in her sanctification.

30. I encourage my wife to have close accountability relationships with other women.

31. I encourage my wife to spend time reading the Bible and to pray.

32. I seek to serve in the church with my wife.

33. I seek to minimize the impediments to my wife’s spiritual growth.

34. I value my wife’s help in my own spiritual growth.

35. When I sin against my wife, I express repentance and ask for forgiveness.
36. When my wife and I have conflict, I take the lead in seeking biblical resolution.
   SD D DS AS A S

**Part 4** (If you do not have children age 18 or younger, please skip Part 4.)

37. I understand what the Bible teaches regarding how to raise my children in the discipline and instruction of the Lord.
   SD D DS AS A SA

38. I am an effective spiritual leader for my children.
   SD D DS AS A SA

39. I know the areas in which my believing children are struggling in their sanctification.
   SD D DS AS A SA

40. I use occasions of my children’s sin to instruct them with the gospel.
   SD D DS AS A SA

41. I encourage my children to spend time reading the Bible and praying.
   SD D DS AS A SA

42. I use everyday situations as opportunities to instruct my children with Biblical principles.
   SD D DS AS A SA

43. When I sin against my children, I express repentance and ask for forgiveness.
   SD D DS AS A SA

44. When my children have conflict with each other, I lead them to seek biblical resolution.
   SD D DS AS A SA

45. I pray with my children (choose only one)
   ___ A. more than once per day
   ___ B. once per day
   ___ C. several times per week
   ___ D. once per week
   ___ E. several times per month
   ___ F. once per month
   ___ G. several times per year
   ___ H. not at all
46. I read the Bible with my children (choose only one)
   ___ A. more than once per day
   ___ B. once per day
   ___ C. several times per week
   ___ D. once per week
   ___ E. several times per month
   ___ F. once per month
   ___ G. several times per year
   ___ H. not at all

47. I read Christian books to/with my children (choose only one)
   ___ A. more than once per day
   ___ B. once per day
   ___ C. several times per week
   ___ D. once per week
   ___ E. several times per month
   ___ F. once per month
   ___ G. several times per year
   ___ H. not at all

48. I lead my home in family worship (specific time of Bible-reading and prayer) (check only one)
   ___ A. more than once per day
   ___ B. once per day
   ___ C. several times per week
   ___ D. once per week
   ___ E. several times per month
   ___ F. once per month
   ___ G. several times per year
   ___ H. not at all

Personal Identification Number: ______________
APPENDIX 6

The following is a sample rubric that may be employed in measuring curriculum. The statements in this rubric may also be adapted to measure a sermon series, Bible study lessons, etc. A copy of this and other sample rubrics are available in the sample proposals at www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources.
## Spiritual Leadership Curriculum Evaluation Tool

### Lesson One Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The lesson is clearly relevant to the issue of spiritual leadership in the home.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The material is faithful to the Bible’s teaching on spiritual leadership.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The material is theologically sound.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The thesis of the lesson is clearly stated.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The points of the lesson clearly support the thesis.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lesson contains points of practical application.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lesson is sufficiently thorough in its coverage of the material.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall, the lesson is clearly presented.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 7

The following is the Goal Development Worksheet that will assist you in developing your project goals. Note that each goal must be clearly stated, have a defined means of measurement, and benchmark of success. This document is available at www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources
# Project Methodology

## Developing Goals and Measurements

Each goal must: 1) Be clearly stated; 2) Have a defined means of measurement; 3) Detail the “benchmark” of success.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL TYPE</th>
<th>CLEARLY STATED GOAL</th>
<th>DEFINED MEANS OF MEASUREMENT</th>
<th>BENCHMARK OF SUCCESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT</td>
<td><em>The first goal will be to</em> assess the current discipleship practices among families with teenagers who are members of First Baptist Church.*</td>
<td><em>This goal will be measured by</em> administering the Family Discipleship Practices Inventory (FDPI) to 25 member families who have at least one teenager residing in the home (see Appendix 1). This inventory includes two parts: FDPI-Parents and FDPI-Teenager. Both the parent(s) and the teenager(s) will be surveyed regarding current family discipleship practices within the home.</td>
<td><em>This goal will be considered successfully met when</em> 25 families (parent and teenager) complete the FDPI and the inventory has been analyzed yielding a clearer picture of the current discipleship practices among FBC families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE KNOWLEDGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIFY ATTITUDES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE PARTICIPATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIP PARTICIPANTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOP CURRICULUM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOP SERMON SERIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOP STRATEGIC PLAN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 8

The following is an abbreviated task list to complete a single project chapter. Students should complete a task list for every chapter in order to ensure that they will graduate within 3 years (D.Min) or 4 years (D.Ed.Min.). This document is also available at www.sbts.edu/dmin/resources
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHAPTER</th>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>ESTIMATED TIME</th>
<th>TARGET DATE</th>
<th>COMPLETION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Determine primary texts to exegete</td>
<td>10 hrs</td>
<td>June 1, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Study texts as a whole</td>
<td>10 hrs</td>
<td>June 8, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Write chapter thesis statement</td>
<td>2 hrs</td>
<td>June 9, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Exegete text 1 with commentary use, etc</td>
<td>5 hrs</td>
<td>June 13, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Write section based on text 1</td>
<td>8 hrs</td>
<td>June 17, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Exegete text 2 with commentary use, etc</td>
<td>5 hrs</td>
<td>June 20, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Write section based on text 2</td>
<td>8 hrs</td>
<td>June 23, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Exegete text 3 with commentary use, etc</td>
<td>5 hrs</td>
<td>June 27, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Write section based on text 3</td>
<td>8 hrs</td>
<td>June 30, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Exegete text 4 with commentary use, etc</td>
<td>5 hrs</td>
<td>July 4, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Write section based on text 4</td>
<td>8 hrs</td>
<td>July 8, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Edit chapter 2</td>
<td>8 hrs</td>
<td>July 9, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Submit chapter 2 to two editors (one for content/one for grammar, style, argumentation, fluency, etc)</td>
<td>1 hrs</td>
<td>July 10, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Retrieve chapter 2 edits from editors</td>
<td>1 hrs</td>
<td>July 17, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Revise based on editors feedback</td>
<td>10 hrs</td>
<td>July 20, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Have editors review changes and provide feedback</td>
<td>1 hrs</td>
<td>July 21-23, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Make any needed corrections</td>
<td>3 hrs</td>
<td>July 24, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SUBMIT CHAPTER 2 TO SUPERVISOR</td>
<td>1 hrs</td>
<td>July 25, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Receive review and feedback from supervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td>August 10, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Make all necessary changes to chapter 2</td>
<td>10 hrs</td>
<td>August 15, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Submit “final” version of chapter 2 to supervisor for approval</td>
<td>1 hrs</td>
<td>August 20, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Estimated Time:** 110 hrs

**Duration:** 80 days